

FRANKLY SPEAKING

AUDIO TRANSCRIPT

Frank Curzio:

How's it going out there, it's Friday, May 12th. I'm Frank Curzio, host of the Frankly Speaking Podcast where I answer all of your questions about the market, stocks, comedy, sports, and anything else you want to throw at me. Created this podcast to answer more of your questions that you were sending me through my Wall Street Unplugged Podcast, which I host every Wednesday. If you have any questions you want answered, just send me an email at Frank@CurzioResearch.com, that's Frank@CurzioResearch.com. Be sure to put Frankly Speaking in the headline, that makes it real easy for me to find that email. And you never know, your question may be the one I read on this podcast. Let's start with a question from Wade.

It says, "Now that the smoke has cleared, just wanted to say I'm excited to be a lifetime member to your newsletter and I enjoy listening to your podcast every week. Awesome job." Thanks, I really appreciate that Wade. It says, "Now, on to my question. I know I've heard you say in the past regarding stock positions that are up quite a bit, that maybe you should take some profits. I'm wondering if you have a strategy as when to take these profits? For example, is it based on a percentage gain or other criteria? When I have a stock that is up quite a lot, I often think, 'Man, I better sell this so I don't lose the gain.' What I think, if I do that, I'm just dumping one of my best companies if you know what I mean. Just for example, I was up quite a bit on Netflix, and sold it for a 20% gain. Seemed good at the time and better than losing, but of course it just kept going higher and higher. Anyway, thanks for everything. Wade."

This is a great question because I've seen more people lose money selling stocks and not letting their winners ride. I think it's very important to always let ... Not always, I shouldn't say always. Never say always, all, every time, they'll come back to haunt you and bite you in the you know what. Trust me on that one. But I've seen it happen often. When you recommend a company like Netflix, it's a much different company today compared to when it first started. Getting into more streaming, and again, everybody knows the story by now, Netflix and where the stock price is. But I've heard so

many stories where people sold Apple at \$40, and look where it is today. The Netflix's and a lot of these momentum stocks.

Obviously, when it goes lower you want to have a stop-loss, I think that's important. You could also have a trailing stop, which is if the stock keeps moving higher, and higher, and higher you could have a 30%, 20% trailing where once it comes down 20% you sell, and you'll still be in the green. But there's no set formula for this. For me, the way I approach it when I'm up a lot, and let's take, not to mention it again, let's take NAK, which is Northern Dynasty, because that's a stock that I know a lot of you own and it went up tremendously. It went up 900% at the time. When I went to go visit that project in Alaska, and learning that story, I knew that the stock should be trading around 1.25, 1.50 when I came back, did the analysis, where the market was.

I had a feeling that the EPA, based on talking to all the lawyers and stuff like that, I mean there was a good shot this was going to get reversed, what you're seeing right now, or this is going to come to the point where they'd have to see their data or just acknowledge that that data exists. For those of you who don't know this story, again, I don't want to go through it because I've mentioned this stock a lot. But they basically halted drilling and the EPA said, "We're not going to drill on that land anymore, you can't drill on it, environmental concerns, sockeye salmon, and whatever." They just threw this whole thing, so they spent 140 million dollars on all these studies by independent people, consultants, environments, and the EPA said, "You know, we don't even want to see it."

I knew one once that reversed, which it had to reverse because that was almost against the law that they didn't even want to look at it, that the stock should be trading higher. What happened? The stock went to 1.25, 1.50, 1.75, that's when I was like ... It went to 2 bucks, I'm like, "Guys, take profits, sell some of it," but I also knew that if this got reversed, the stock could be in the double digits. It could be 10, to 15, easy, a \$15 stock because it's the largest undeveloped copper and gold deposit on the planet. When I look at the fundamentals of the industry, there's been significant underinvestment, right? Because the big guys were leveraged to the max, it's been one of the worst environments over the past four years in the mining sector, there hasn't been new discoveries.

The fact that they can go there and this is something that they could develop pretty quickly, by quickly I'd say probably within five years, that's pretty quick to develop and to actually start producing. Maybe a little bit longer. But it's all flat, it would be easy to construct in, and again. Once it got to those levels, then it

started pushing to 3.00. I didn't really think it would go to 3.00 to be honest with you, but I knew if they would reverse that decision it would go higher. Now, I'm using this as an example because this is one that everyone can identify with. I said, "Sell half, you're up a ton, 200, 300, 400% when you sold half." It went up another 400, 500%, and it came down, right? You had the whole short sale, and I think it came down, I think to like 1.20, 1.30. Where is it today? 1.80, wherever it is, maybe a little bit higher than that.

But it's a stock that you should hold because it still has tremendous potential. Now, if your thesis is still intact, you should hold a portion of that. I mean it's simple to say, "Well, if a stock's up 100%, sell half because your costs basis is zero." Again, say if you bought in your IRA, we won't get the taxes and stuff like that. But your downside risk is really zero, right? Because again, you're up 100%, the stock goes to zero, it's almost going to be break-even. That's why people say when you're up 100% on the stock you should sell half. With Northern Dynasty I didn't do that because I thought it was worth a lot more. It's hard to come up with a specific formula. I think it matters based on the stock.

I brought up Netflix earlier because that business model changed. I mean stocks that I've seen where I've had 1000% gains doesn't happen often guys. I'd like to tell you I have all these all the time, it doesn't. I mean those are life changing gains, 1000, 2000% gains. But from the time you research the stock, to the point where if you look at it, whatever, 10 years later, if it goes up 1000%, again, you don't have those Northern Dynasty's that that happened in nine months, it's usually a much different company to keep pushing it higher. Now they're generating money, they're going into more growth initiatives, they start M&A and start building their company and taking over other companies, spending in different areas.

You want to monitor that and make sure your thesis is still intact, they're still doing the right thing because there's going to be ups and downs before they get up to that 1000% point. But I guess you could use trailing stops, which is one thing, but it depends on the stock pick. Because I could tell you, that's, I don't want to say the biggest risk, but that's the biggest mistake I think investors make. It's not losing money on a stock where you buy it, you lose it, and we've all had our losers. It's selling a stock too early and leaving so much on the table, when that company could be Microsoft and you bought it 25 years ago. You're like, "Wow, this is good, Windows, this is awesome." Then you just didn't hold it because you're like, "Aw, I have to sell it, it's okay."

You never know, these stocks could continue to run higher. Look at the momentum names. I mean does Tesla really make sense fundamentally? Absolutely not, I don't care who you are, you cannot justify the fundamentals. I'm not saying it's not going to go higher. I told you never to short that stock. I made that clear 100 times over the past three years. Don't ever short a stock when they have a product that everybody loves because nine out of 10 times you're going to lose money, it just happens. Because people talk about the product, they love it. I mean I saw that with David Einhorn shorting Keurig, it just doesn't make sense to me when everyone loves a product. You're looking at numbers and evaluation when people are just buying something because they love it so much. It's very dangerous where the fundamentals sometimes don't matter.

I hate saying that because I'm a fundamental analyst, but I'm saying it because I've learned my lesson, because I got my butt kicked on some of these. When you're looking to sell a stock, it depends. Is your thesis still intact? If it goes up 100% you could sell half, you could let the rest ride, but try to keep a little bit of that position if that name shows up in Investor Business Daily, it has the momentum behind them, you got the traders behind them, and they're doing the right thing, there's buzz around them. Again, we're talking about the Facebooks, the Googles, the Amazons, anything that's in cloud, even in the internet of things, it's a trend I'm covering with Curzio Research Advisory. I mean a lot of these stocks are trading at insane valuations.

Would I tell you to sell them? No, because the momentum's there, they haven't broken down yet. Some stocks individually have after this earning season, but you want to keep at least a small percentage because you never know how high that stock could go. As long as you're taking money off the table, you're lowering your risk tremendously, but be sure to take profits. You don't want to stock to go up 100% and go all the way back down because that's going to be the stock you think about every single minute or every single day, and it's going to kill you. Because there's so many great ideas, there's so many disconnects that happen within the market during earnings season, company reports bad earnings, and it falls ... Hertz.

If you look at Hertz, Hertz is the most disgusting stock you could ever think of. A rental car business, it's insane, it could disappear, it's horrible. I don't know anybody that like Hertz. But you know what? At 12 bucks, if you look at the analysts, the analysts that hate this stock that have cell ratings have it valued at 15, 16 bucks.

I mean that's how hated. You see disconnects like that. I'm not telling you to buy Hertz here because I really can't find a catalyst, but it's funny how the people who hate this stock the most think it's worth 30, 40% higher because it was 30-something when? Like a year and a half ago. You have Icon in there, you have Mario Cabelli's in there too, added to his stake big guys, just before the recent 25, or 20% decline when they reported earnings a couple days ago.

You want to monitor these stocks to great questions, very hard, but you also want to let those winners ride because I've seen so many profits left on the table when they could be life changing gains if they continue to go higher, and higher, and higher. Like a Netflix, like a Google, which is now Alphabet. I mean Apple regained its momentum as well. There's so many stocks like that, Priceline. I mean who thought Priceline would be where it is today? But it is. Hopefully that helps. Again, I'm trying to give you guys advice from making my mistakes. It's not patting myself on the back because I had NAK, I did have losers and I did take profits in companies too early and it turned out to be a really, really big mistake for me.

Let's move on here, next question's from Phil. "Say Frank, thanks for doing your podcast, it's extremely helpful. I listen every week for the last four years and enjoy your expert advice and interviews." Wow, every week for four years, man, that's a long time. I'm surprised you don't hate me by now. Anyway, I really appreciate it, thanks Phil. His question is, "I was wondering if you could tell me about ALXDF? The name of that company is Alexandria Minerals. Is it a good investment? I know it has seven and a half million in cash and [Sprout 00:11:10] has invested in the company for shares and warrants. Please let me know what you think of this stock."

Phil, I punch up this stock and it was seven cents, and I laughed. I almost just ignored it, and I'm glad I didn't because it's a very interesting company. One of the only reasons why I kept researching it is because of the Sprout investment who I respect those guys very much and Rick Rule. 35 million dollar market cap, okay? That's based on their shares fully diluted. 500 million shares outstanding. A seven cent stock, 500 million shares outstanding, right? It's seven cents, but it has a 35 million dollar market cap. I've recommended companies with 30, 40 million dollar market cap in Curzio Venture opportunities. Not at seven cents, sometimes they're like two or three dollars with less shares outstanding. To put that amount in perspective, I went and looked at this.

I mean Amazon has 478 million shares outstanding. This small company that probably 99.9% of people never heard of, again, it's called Alexandria Minerals, the symbol is ALXDF. That's over the counter and it trades on the venture exchange as well. But 500 million shares outstanding is pretty crazy. Now they have a key project in Quebec, right? Again, when you're looking at the rules that you want to have good management teams, you want to have good projects and good jurisdictions, they have that in a good jurisdiction. You have good investors, Sprout just invested two and a half million dollars into the company and they'll own, what is it? 13% on a fully diluted basis. When I say fully diluted that means warrants as well, which the company issued warrants and their exercise will at nine cents, and the duration is 24 months.

When I saw they made this investment I started looking more into the company, and they have insider ownership 6%, again, something you like to see. Their partners, Anglo Eagle 8% owner, Tech is a 2% owner, [EMGold 00:13:00] is a 2% owner, Global Investors and Sprout, two big firms. They have a lot of investors into this company. Now, you look where is it located? They're located in an area where you see huge producing area for gold. Red Lake, Snow Lake as well, those are the districts. You're looking at the company itself, where you're looking at the management team, which also is extremely important. The co-founder is Peter Gundy, and I looked him up because I thought that name was familiar. He's the co-founder of Potash, that's pretty interesting. Why is that interesting?

When it comes to mining stocks, a lot has to do promotion. Now promotion sounds like a bad word. It's like, "Oh, you're promoting it, maybe it shouldn't ... " But when you're a tiny company you need to get the word out there to people to say, "Hey, this is what we're doing." This is what I did with Gold Standard Ventures, and people were like, "Frank, are you promoting?" It's not that I'm promoting, it's I see a really good idea that I think you could make money on. Again, I had the CEO's of these companies on over the past few months and those stocks have come down. But as you guys know if you're long-term listeners, I recommended these companies, 20, 30 cents, they're three, four, five, even after the recent decline. Over the past few months in the resource sector, which has been terrible, they're up tremendously.

The fact that you could say that your chairman is the co-founder of Potash, that's pretty easy to market. They're in an area that's very, very close to two massive producing gold districts, again, Red Lake, Snow Lake, a bunch of them in Quebec, and you have

an amazing list of investors, right? Which is pretty cool. It is a very interesting play to be honest with you, when I started researching it. When you look at the ounces, they have about two and a half million ounces of gold equivalent, so that's ounces in the ground. If you look at the current market cap it's valued about \$14, which is extremely cheap. I mean one of the benchmarks that I've used, I think Amir said it on Wednesday's podcast, Amir Adnani from Gold Mining, founder and chairman of Gold Mining, is RBC did an amazing study, pretty much an apple to apple comparison from 2012 to 2016. I think it was like 40 or 50 different takeovers, and they average around 70 bucks.

Now, that's for gold in the ground. Of course there's a lot of variables, right? It matter the jurisdictions, who you're actually buying it from, how big these projects are, do they have scale? The grade in the ground of course. But they took from 2012 to 2016, which is really cool, which is a terrible period. Because before that, when the mining industry was booming, you could say, "They were purchasing so many of the major purchasing companies for over 120, 150, \$200 an ounce of gold in the ground. 70 is a much better value, and even to account for jurisdictions and stuff, you want to cut it in half, and you could say, "Wow, even at \$35 on average, this company looks pretty cool since right now it's only being valued at 14 bucks.

But for me, I'd rather go with Gold Mining who has 25 million, more than that with their recent acquisition, probably more like 27 million when you're going to see those numbers come out. I mean that's based on feasibility studies for the property they just recently took over if you listen to that interview from 2010. They're going to come out with new ones, which is normal after a mining acquisition, new independent studies, and I'm sure it's going to confirm at least, I think it was 1.9 million, probably more than that. You're looking at 27 million ounces of gold equivalent and they're being valued about 8.50, 9 bucks around.

Plus, remember, I said earlier where there's a ton of underinvestment in this area where the majors are dying for projects. When you can take over a company, are you going to look to take over this one at two and a half million ounces? Maybe, yeah, it could definitely happen, it's an interesting play. But what about a company that has 27 million? Four different countries, all good jurisdictions, projects that they bought for pennies on the dollar compared to where they were trading four, five years ago. If this market turns, I think I'd rather own Gold Mining instead of Alexandria Minerals. But it doesn't seem like a bad play, it is pretty

interesting. I would keep an eye on that, maybe if it came down. If it comes down two cents, isn't that weird? But that's like a huge move in the company that's trading at seven cents. But it was an interesting play, I'm glad you sent it to me.

It does have all the factors that I like, good management team, high insider ownership, great partners that they got in there, again with Tech, Anglo Eagle, Global Investors, Sprot, good jurisdiction. It has a lot of things going for it, but when I do comparison analysis I really like Gold Mining a ton more than this company, although this is pretty attractive. Hopefully that answers your question. Let's move on here with Dominic.

He says, "Hey Frank. I wanted to get your perspective on some energy stocks. I'm more of a price action guy and struggle with knowing how to interpret fundamental analysis. It's a big reason why I'm listening to your podcasts and reading your newsletters to help gain some knowledge in this area. Schlumberger and Halliburton are two stocks that seem to be coming to support levels and I was just wondering what are your thoughts on these as buying opportunities for a longer hold position?" Interesting you say that Dominic since it seems like you're more a price action guy, which means you're a little bit more of a trader, but you're talking long-term, which is pretty cool.

You say, "Another one in a different sector that has my interest is Perrigo, P-R-G-O, that's the generic play, seems like it's been getting beat up the past year and could be forming a bottom near the 66 or 60 level, and would like to hear your thoughts on this stock as well. Listened to your podcast for some time now and really enjoy it, I've also signed up for a lifetime membership subscription offer. Thank you, and hopefully you'll a chance to comment on your Friday's podcast." Well, here it is, I'm commenting on it. I really appreciate that you're a listener and lifetime subscriber.

Now, Schlumberger and Halliburton, great companies, I think you can do okay if you buy them at these current levels, they're still down well off their highs. However, there's a couple of oil service companies that are fantastic buys right now, that are down 70, 80% still. One of them I just recently recommended in my Curzio Venture Opportunity Newsletter. Insiders are buying, they're going to generate massive amounts of cash flow going forward, they're in great areas where people are drilling like crazy right now. Also, in that issue I explained, because you talk about energy stocks, why oil prices are probably going to go a lot higher, and they're already started.

People are seeing the production, they're like, "Well more production obviously means more supply in the market so prices are going to come down." If prices come down any further you're not going to see huge production numbers. You're going to see them out of the Permian, yeah, I mean there's some companies, not every one, but some within a four county area that could drill for under \$30 and make money because it has certain levels, right? It's got different pay zones, it's not just one pay zone, you can just drill deeper, deeper, and deeper and you almost have your own Eagle Fords within there. But if you notice, and you look at the rig count over the last week, and oil prices pretty much crashed from \$53 to under 45 really quickly. The rig count was interesting because seven rigs were added to the Permian, which makes sense because people are drilling and making money. The Eagle Ford was flat, and the Bokken was minus one.

We saw what happened when prices came down below \$35 last time, right? I mean they came down, they fell immediately, not immediately, but over a couple months they went up from what? 27 to 50 and you're looking at almost a double in oil prices because a lot of production's going to come offline. Yes, there's hedges in place and I get it and I understand. There's also people that can produce. But you can't produce in every area in America. All the major Shale areas at \$35, most can't. You can in the Permian, that's what everybody's focusing on, I know. I've been to the Permian, I've been to all these personally, I've visited all these areas. As you see prices come down, you're going to see a lot of production come offline from the other areas and the Permian's not going to be able to make up the difference.

Because even right now they're expecting an extra one million. It would be like three million barrels of oil per day when demand is going to be 100 million barrels per day globally. If you look at the rest of the world, and people looking at the OPEC cuts and stuff like that. OPEC has to keep those cuts in place. They messed around with this in 2014, it's the reason why oil prices crashed. Everybody thought, "Hey, they may cut production," they said, "You know what? Let's try to screw the American producers. Let's remove our quotas, let's let everybody in OPEC produce as much as they can." A month later, and this is almost to the month in December, like it was mid or late December right before it crashed, prices were like 90, 95 and you had the Saudi's increase production by 11%, you had 10% plus increases in daily production from Iraq, from Libya, I mean it was insane.

What happened? Well, we saw the emerging markets slow down.

I mean it was the perfect storm where not only did you flood the markets with supply, but demand came down, so you saw prices crash. If you look at the studies, and people have done studies on this, they lost two trillion dollars, two trillion dollars because they decided to raise their quotas instead of cutting. If you think when they meet in a couple weeks from now that they're going to remove those, you're nuts. Unless they're on drugs and they hate money, they are either going to extend it or raise it. But to eliminate it, it's just not going to happen. You're going to see those cuts maintained and they may raise those cuts, and you could see oil prices go higher.

But remember, if they come lower than where they are now, to below 40, you're going to see a lot of production come offline from the Eagle Ford because everyone's talking about the Permian and you have record production out of the Permian. It's not near record of what the Eagle Ford was producing in 2015, or what the Bakken was producing in 2015. You're going to see a lot of production from those areas come offline and the Permian's not going to be able to produce enough to make up for it. Again, three million barrels, even if they increase their production by a million, it's going to be three million per day. It's 3% of total world production. I just think when you read the headlines and you see so much negativity on oil, not to give away too much of my issue, oil prices are going higher and I think it's a good time to buy.

Am I qualified to make this statement? Yeah, I think so. I mean it's an industry that I follow, that I travel a lot. It's an industry in 2015, I told everybody when oil prices went to 60 you should sell every single oil stock in front of an audience of about 300 to 400 people. Every oil stock that they own, and within months those stocks, the average stock crashed 30, 35% because the hedges were coming off, right? Prices fell from 2014 to 2015, they were still producing and making money with those hedges in place. Once those hedges came off, a lot of bankruptcies took place, I think it was over 100, and we saw prices crash. Now it's a different situation. The balance sheets are better, they extended those maturities on a lot of their debt, much better position, the technology's better.

But I think right now, oil, you can almost throw a dart at an oil company and you're probably going to make money if you hold on to it for 12 to 18 months. I think it could make 200, 300, 400% returns on the company that I recommended, that's why insiders are buying like crazy. I mean it used to be a leading service provider, now it's a small cap stock that almost went bankrupt two years ago, so no one's paying attention to it. But these are great

companies in this area, it's the reason why I like oil. Am I qualified to talk about Perrigo and the generic market? Probably not if you know what my track record is. I mean I like Mylan, which crashed. Yes, the whole Epi-pen nonsense. Not only that, you look at Teva Pharmaceuticals I was wrong on. I think the generic market is poised to go a lot higher and you get it really cheap here, so I would agree with you.

I mean you're looking at, Perrigo was down ... I mean, where was that stock? Wasn't that stock like 200, almost 200 or 250 or something? And now it's like 75. But if you're looking at the FDA, what's going on, there's a lot of new laws taking place. In 2016, I mean the FDA only approved 190 generic drugs and if you compare that with 2015, they broke the record for the highest number, it was over 700. However, in December 2016, they had the highest number of approvals in the FDA for generics, and now that trend is going higher and higher. I think it's going to be good news for the generic companies, which have been getting destroyed. I'm confident to talk about oil I guess because I've been wrong on those stocks, at least lately. I was right on Mylan early on when it went all the way to 80, 90 and Teva wanted to take over Mylan, and now Mylan's crashed and all those crashed.

But I think most of them are really good buys again, if you have probably an 18 month or longer time frame because who knows what's going to happen tomorrow or anything within healthcare? There's a lot of things going on. Long-term I think those generics are a great play. Any three of those.

All right, last question here, this one's interesting. It's from Jesse. It says, "Frank, I read your recent tweet where you said the Democrats are basically more out of touch with Americans than ever. Why don't you stick to giving advice on investing instead of politics?" Again, Jesse. You're right Jesse, I should. When I talk about politics I always get hate email and crazy stuff. But you know what? Everybody talks about politics and everybody else ... I feel like I got to inject my opinion sometimes, and that's what I'm going to do right now. Everyone's going to hate me, which I love. Because again, I don't like talking politics, but, what I said there is true. As it stands right now, I think Trump is going to win reelection probably by the biggest landslide in history.

You're looking at the Democrats, someone needs to remind them that, "You lost the election. I mean get over it, it's okay, but you lost. I mean Hillary, it was not the reopening of the investigation by Comey in the final weeks leading up to the election. It was because you were campaigning in the wrong areas. I mean the

final days, you thought you had Michigan, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania in the bag." You look at this Comey thing, which the Democrats hate him and wanted him fired anyway, so Trump fires him. Now, they like Comey? But they think that Trump fired him, again, if you're watching any news source in the world right now you know the story, but they think Trump's scared that Comey was going to uncover some kind of conspiracy with Russia, that by the way, nobody could find before the election. And believe me, the crooks both sides hired to dig up dirt on people, they find everything on anyone, I mean, and always find a way to twist it.

I mean that's how they found out that tape. How'd they get a hold of that tape of Donald Trump from 20 years ago talking about women? Which was horrible, right? It was terrible, it was 20 years ago, it doesn't justify it even if it was whenever. But if they really want to find something, if they could find that, which is no place, believe me, there's so many trails when it comes to hacking and all this stuff. Believe me, I have a friend who's basically one of the best hackers in the world, the government calls him to hack their programs and stuff to make it more safe. I mean anything that's traceable they would have found it already, believe me.

But just think about this for a minute. I mean people hated Hillary so much that they elected Donald Trump, who said those terrible comments, and again, yes it was 20 years ago. I mean the Donald Trump who is the most sensitive leader ever, tweeting every time someone insults him. The Donald Trump who has no prior government or military experience at all. Think about that if you're a Democrat or if you're in that party. I mean that's how sick most of us, most Americans are when it comes to politicians, where we would elect somebody like that. I mean the politicians that always say one thing and do another, which we can now monitor, right? Because of social media, so we know these guys are full of crap now.

It used to be okay, they used to deny everything. Now you're on tape, we see it, "There's what you said, it's right here." That's why nobody cares about the Comey drama, nobody cares about Trump releasing his tax returns. I mean seriously. I'm saying this as an American, not even a Democrat or Republican, and being biased. What people want is for everyone to get along with as little bias as possible. We want to be united, right? We want to have a stronger front, get our problems solved reasonably, make sure our kids have a better life than us. But even when it comes to those problems where both sides can constructively argue to come up with an actual solution that benefits most Americans.

I mean looking at what these guys are doing to each other, on the party lines, with Trump or even Obama, right? When the nominations come, and they have to hire these people for their cabinet, and the person who both of them are hiring, again, I don't want to take sides here, is so well qualified, awesome track record, but the other side just tears this person apart simply because they're from the other party. They don't even care if he's more qualified than someone, they'd rather have someone from their party in there who's less qualified. That's insane, and we all see it. Man, the Democratic party, hire me to run your next campaign because right now you have no clue, you have no shot.

I mean you're going to lose in a landslide election if you keep calling for Trump to get impeached. I mean how un-American is that, but it makes you sound more like sore losers, when you lost the election by a pretty wide margin, right? A huge margin, six months ago, it was six months ago, get over it. Are you really surprised this guy got fired? I mean maybe the way it happened was a surprise, where someone should have called the guy first before he found out on TV. I think you can give him that common courtesy, which would've been cool. I mean his kids probably knew he got fired before him. But Trump hated this guy before and after the election. We all know he didn't like him, mostly because he did a terrible job with the Clinton email scandal to the point where this guy was just everywhere in the media. Making press conference where it seemed it was more about him than actually uncovering what happened in the email server.

But I'm sure even Comey knew he was getting fired no matter who won. He was going to get fired, he had to know that. I mean both parties were just destroying this guy. The media, CNN, I mean they're awesome. Just punch up that website and just look at the headlines, it's incredible. By the way, be sure to read their private policy first, which basically says that, "You have no privacy at all," which is another rant I can get into, but I won't. But seriously, Democrats, you're talking about the impeachment of Donald Trump, which will never happen by the way since they need approval of the House and Senate, which the Republicans control. Not to mention, the US Constitution requires that a two thirds majority from the Senate for conviction, which is why Clinton got off.

Not to mention, that if this does happen, what do you think is going to happen to our society? I mean there's going to be riots in the street because it's going to be seen or viewed as our politicians want to take control of everything again. Which basically means

that they don't have to be held accountable anymore for just about anything they do. But impeachment, I mean I guess the recount thesis from December didn't work out too well since you would've had to recount the votes, not in one state, but Wisconsin, Florida, Michigan, Pennsylvania. They're probably like, "Eh, I don't think that's going to work out." There has to be one smart elected official on the Democratic side that sees how terrible this looks. If I was a Democrat I'd be ashamed, just like I was ashamed to call myself a Republican at times with the nonsense that the party would do to Obama was president.

But Democrats, you're smart for really pushing away Hillary here after she lost and she will never, ever, ever get elected, I think we can all agree with that, especially since this election was handed to her on a silver platter and she still found a way to lose. But you need to do the same thing and push yourself from the Chuck Shumers and Nancy Pelosis, the extremists. Even on the Republican side as well, the Rush Limbaughs. You hate no matter what the news is. I mean if you want to get more out of touch with Americans, that's what you do, no matter what the news is you just hate. It's the easiest way to get out of touch with Americans because right now it's sad that you've come down to this level, calling for an impeachment when Trump actually fired a guy you probably hated more than Donald Trump.

End of rant. I guess all the Democrats who subscribe to my Curzio Research Advisory are probably going to ask for their money back. But seriously, listen to the people if you want a shot at winning the next election. They don't want to hear about impeachment, they're sick of all the fighting. They want a united front where it's okay to disagree on issues in an effort to find better solutions for all of us. If you follow that guideline you have a shot. But right now, impeachment guys, man, you are so far off the radar you have no idea. The polls are even saying that, your own polls are even saying how much you're out of touch.

But man, sorry, I had to go there because every story is on this impeachment, and Comey, and firing. Come on, really, seriously, Mother's Day is coming up. Cheer up. Anyway, if you have any question or comments about today's show, which I have a feeling I'm going to get a lot, you could email me, Frank@CurzioResearch.com. Don't hold back, it's cool. That's fine, I can take it, I'm a big boy. If you're mad at anything, I'm here for you. Just blame it on me, it's perfectly fine, I get it. Also, if you want to get some real-time updates and my mini-rants on stocks, the markets, and politics, and even sports sometimes, you can follow me at Twitter,

my handle is @FrankCurzio, which got me in trouble with the last email I'm sure. But I'm going to be tweeting a lot more going forward. I really love the platform, and again, it's mostly real-time and you're going to get a lot of updates and just comments on stocks and figures, especially during earnings season, and all you have to do is follow me @FrankCurzio.

Guys, that's it for me. Have a great weekend. Happy Mother's Day to all the great moms out there including my mom and my wife. I'll see you guys in seven days, take care.